GC for Guile 4 Feb 2023 – FOSDEM Andy Wingo

Whippet: A New

Guile is...

Mostly written in Scheme Also a 30 year old C library // API SCM scm cons (SCM car, SCM cdr);

- // Many third-party users SCM x = scm cons (a, b);

Putting the C into GC

- SCM $x = scm_cons(a, b);$
- Live objects: the *roots*, plus anything a live object refers to
- How to include x into roots?
- Refcounting
- Register (& later unregister) &x with gc
- Conservative roots

Conservative roots

Treat every word in stack as potential root; over-approximate live object set 1993: Bespoke GC inherited from SCM 2006 (1.8): Added pthreads, bugs 2009 (2.0): Switch to BDW-GC BDW-GC: Roots also from extern SCM foo;, etc

Conservative roots

+: Ergonomic, eliminates class of bugs (handle registration), no compiler constraints
-: Potential leakage, no compaction / object motion; no bump-pointer allocation, calcifies GC choice

What if I told you

- roots
- You can find roots conservatively and move objects and compact the heap A do fast bump-pointer allocation incrementally migrate to precise
- BDW is not the local maximum

Immix mark-sweep

- ✤ evacuation
- mark-region
- Immix is a mark-region collector

- Fundamental GC algorithms
- mark-compact



objects can span lines but not blocks Trace: Mark objects and lines Sweep: Coarse eager scan over line mark bytes

Immix: Opportunistic evacuation

Before trace, determine if compaction needed. If not, mark as usual If so, select candidate blocks and evacuation target blocks. When tracing in that block, try to evacuate, fall back to mark

Immix: Guile

Compaction!

- **Opportunistic evacuation compatible** with conservative roots!
- **Bump-pointer allocation**
- 1 year ago: start work on WIP GC implementation

Whippet vs Immix: Tiny lines

side table retained) holes

Immix: 128B lines + mark bit in object Whippet: 16B "lines"; mark *byte* in side table

More size overhead: 1/16 vs 1/128 Less fragmentation (1 live obj = 2 lines retained)

More alloc overhead? More small

Whippet vs Immix: Lazy sweeping

sweep

Immix: "cheap" eager coarse sweep Whippet: just-in-time lazy fine-grained

- Corrolary: Data computed by sweep available when sweep complete
- Live data at previous GC only known before next GC
- Empty blocks discovered by sweeping

Whippet vs BDW

Compaction/defrag/pinning, heap shrinking, sticky-mark generational GC, threads/contention/allocation, ephemerons, precision, tools

Whippet vs BDW: Motion

moveable

- Heap-conservative tracing: no object moveable
- Stack-conservative tracing: stack referents pinned, others not
- Whippet: If whole-heap fragmentation exceeds threshold, evacuate mostfragmented blocks
- Stack roots scanned first; marked instead of evacuated, implicitly pinned Evaluated bit in mark bute
- Explicit pinning: bit in mark byte

Whippet vs BDW: Shrinking

Lazy swee potentially Need emp collection Possibility managem balancer

- Lazy sweeping finds empty blocks: potentially give back to OS
- Need empty blocks? Do evacuating collection
- Possibility to do adaptive heap size
 management (http://marisa.moe/
 balancer.html)

https://wingolog.org/archives/2022/10/22/thesticky-mark-bit-algorithm Card marking barrier (256B); compare to BDW mprotect / SIGSEGV



Whippet VS BDW: Scale

BDW: TLS segregated-size freelists, lock to refill freelists, SIGPWR for stop Whippet: thread-local block, sweep without contention, wait-free acquisition of next block, safepoints to stop with ragged marking Both: parallel markers







Whippet vs BDW: Ephemerons

BDW: No ephemerons (link) Whippet: Yes

Whippet vs BDW: Precision

compile-time) heap-precise

- BDW: ~Always stack-conservative, often heap-conservative
- Whippet: Fully configurable (at compile-time)
- Guile in mid/near-term: C-stackconsrvative, Scheme stack precise, heap-precise
- Possibly fully precise: unlock semispace nursery

Whippet VS **BDW:** Tools?

moer easily

- Can build heap tracers and profilers
- More hackable
- (BDW-GC has as many preprocessor directives as whippet has source lines)

Engineering Whippet

Embed-only, abstractions, migration, modern; timeline

Engineering Whippet: Embedonly

qc/ kB objects)

https://github.com/wingo/whippet-

- Semi: 6 kB; Whippet: 22 kB; BDW: 184
- Compile-time specialization:
- for embedder (e.g. how to forward objects)
- for selected GC algorithm (e.g. semi-space vs whippet)
- Built apart, but with LTO to remove library overhead

Engineering Whippet: Abstract performance

- User API abstracts over GC algorithm, e.g. semi-space or whippet
- Expose enough info to allow JIT to open-code fast paths
- Inspired by https://mmtk.io
- Abstractions permit change: of algorithm, over time

Engineering Whippet: Migration

API impleme (except epher First step for Whippet API Then switch t default)

- API implementable by BDW-GC (except ephemerons)
- First step for Guile: BDW behind Whippet API
- Then switch to whippet/immix (by default)

Whippet variants at 2x heap



Engineering Whippet: Modern

stdatomic constexpr-ish root finding

- pthreads (for parallel markers)
- No void*; instead struct types:
- gc ref, gc edge,
- gc conservative ref, etc
- Embed-only lib avoids any returnsstruct-by-value ABI issue
- Rust? MMTk; supply chain concerns
- Platform abstraction for conservative

Engineering Whippet: Timeline

- As time permits
- Whippet TODO: heap growth/ shrinking, finalizers, safepoint API
- Guile TODO: safepoints; heapconservative first
- Precise heap TODO: gc_trace_object, SMOBs, user structs with raw ptr fields, user gc_malloc usage; 3.2
- 6 months for 3.1.1; 12 for 3.2.0?

Whippet: A Better GC?

gc/ Guile 3.2 ? inspiration!

- An Immix-derived GC
- https://github.com/wingo/whippet-
- https://wingolog.org/tags/gc/ Guile 3.2 ?
- Thanks to MMTk authors for inspiration!

