

Delimited Continuations

The Bee's Knees

Quasiconf 2012

Andy Wingo

A poll

How many of you use call/cc and continuation objects in large programs?

Do “we” really use it to implement coroutines and backtracking and threads and whatever?

Is call/cc necessary for Scheme?

Heresy

Those questions originally raised by racketeer
Matthias Felleisen in 2000

Thesis of this presentation: call/cc bad,
delimited continuations good

Against call/cc (1)

Requires set! to do almost anything with multiple returns

Passing arguments to continuations: manual CPS

Against call/cc (2)

“A global goto with arguments”

Captured continuations do not compose with current continuation:

```
(call/cc (lambda (k) (k (k 1))))
```

Oleg: “Call/cc is a bad abstraction.”

Against call/cc (3)

Delimited in practice...

...but where?

Almost always too much

Scheme deserves better

Delimited continuations

Sitaram 1993: “Handling Control”

[http://www.ccs.neu.edu/scheme/pubs/
pldi93-sitaram.pdf](http://www.ccs.neu.edu/scheme/pubs/pldi93-sitaram.pdf)

Felleisen 1988: “The theory and practice of first-class prompts”

[http://www.cs.tufts.edu/~nr/cs257/
archive/matthias-felleisen/prompts.pdf](http://www.cs.tufts.edu/~nr/cs257/archive/matthias-felleisen/prompts.pdf)

Bibliography, ctd

Flatt et al 2007: “Adding Delimited and Composable Control to a Production Programming Environment.”

<http://www.cs.utah.edu/plt/publications/icfp07-fyff.pdf>

Dybvig, Peyton-Jones, and Sabry 2007: “A monadic framework for delimited continuations”

<http://www.cs.indiana.edu/~dyb/pubs/monadicDC.pdf>

Example.

```
(use-modules (ice-9 control))
```

```
(% (+ 1 (abort)) ; body  
   (lambda (k) k) ; handler
```

% pronounced "prompt"

What is captured:

```
(+ 1 [])
```

Wrapped in a function:

```
(lambda vals (+ 1 (apply values vals)))
```

Compositional

A function, not a global goto

```
(let ((k (% (+ 1 (abort))
            (lambda (k) k))))
    (k (k 1)))
= ((lambda vals (+ 1 (apply vals vals)))
   ((lambda vals (+ 1 (apply vals vals)))
    1))
= (+ 1 (+ 1 1))
= 3
```

Analogy with shell

fork/exec : coredump :: % : abort

Differences

- ☛ “Cores” from delimited continuations aren’t dead
- ☛ More expressive value passing
- ☛ Nestable
- ☛ The language, not the system

Tags

```
(% tag body handler)
```

```
(define-syntax-rule (let/ec k exp)
```

```
  (let ((tag (make-prompt-tag)))
```

```
    (% tag
```

```
      (let ((k (lambda args
```

```
                (apply abort-to-prompt
```

```
                  tag
```

```
                  args))))
```

```
      exp)
```

```
      (lambda (k . vals)
```

```
        (apply values vals))))))
```

Optimizations

Escape-only prompts

- ☛ Handler like `(lambda (k v ...) ...)`, *k* unreferenced
- ☛ Implementable with `setjmp/longjmp`, no heap allocation

Optimizations

Prompt elision

- $(\% \text{ (make-prompt-tag) exp h}) = \text{exp}$
- Result of inlining $(\text{let/ec } k \text{ body})$, k unreferenced in body
- Provide break, no cost if unused

Optimizations

Local CPS

Fundamentally dynamic: hence “dynamic control”

Mental model

Aborting to escape-only prompt: longjmp

Aborting to general prompt

- Copy of stack between prompt and abort
- Copy of dynamic bindings in same

Calling delimited continuation: splat stack,
augment dynamic environment

Other names

“Composable continuations”

“Partial continuations”

Other formalisms

% / abort

% / control

call-with-prompt / abort-to-prompt

reset / shift

set / cupto

All equivalent

Limitations

Calling a delimited continuation composes two continuations: one stays in place, the other is pushed on

No way to use copying of C stack to do this: C stack frames are not relocatable

No standard way to capture continuation without unwinding to prompt

But what do I do with it?

A prompt is a boundary between programs

Prompts best conceived as concurrency primitives

The REPL and your code run concurrently

Node with automatic CPS

Delimited continuations: the ideal building block for lightweight threads

Set file descriptors to non-blocking

If `EWOULDBLOCK`, abort

Scheduler installs prompt, runs processes

(ice-9 nio)

nio-read

(ice-9 eports)

fdes ->eport

file-port->eport

accept-eport

connect-eport

get-u8, etc

(ice-9 ethreads)

run

spawn, suspend, resume, sleep

memcached-server.scm (1)

```
(define (socket-loop esocket store)
  (let loop ()
    (let ((client (accept-epport esocket)))
      (spawn (lambda ()
                (client-loop client store))))
      (loop))))
```

memcached-server.scm (2)

```
(define (client-loop eport store)
  (let loop ()
    (let* ((args (string-split
                  (read-line eport) #\space))
           (verb (string->symbol (car args)))
           (proc (hashq-ref *commands* verb)))
      (unless proc
        (client-error eport "Bad: ~a" verb))
      (proc eport store (cdr args)))
    (drain-output eport)
    (if (eof-object? (lookahead-u8 eport))
        (close-eport eport)
        (loop))))
```

BEE'S'
KNEES?

YESS, SURE-
BEE'S' KNEES.
HE IS VERY
FOND OF THEM.



questions?

- ☛ Guile: <http://gnu.org/s/guile/>
- ☛ Prompts: http://www.gnu.org/software/guile/manual/html_node/Prompts.html
- ☛ Ethreads branch: wip-ethreads in Guile
- ☛ Words: <http://wingolog.org/>
- ☛ Slides: <http://wingolog.org/pub/qc-2012-delimited-continuations-slides.pdf>
- ☛ Notes: <http://wingolog.org/pub/qc-2012-delimited-continuations-notes.pdf>