

# javascripts

in the javascripts

ffconf 2014

andy wingo





BIG KID CIRCUS

BIG KID CIRCUS

ERF

ES6

P844 EOP



the  
es6  
circus  
is  
coming  
to  
town

es-discuss clownshoes

C++ knife-jugglers

JavaScript acrobats

building  
es.next  
in  
es.now

Hark, an agenda:

- ☛ Why?
- ☛ How: JavaScriptCore
- ☛ How: SpiderMonkey
- ☛ How: V8

why  
implement  
js in  
js?

js is  
faster  
than  
c++

js is  
faster  
than  
C++

JS can optimize in ways that C++ can't

- dynamic inlining
- inline allocation (and possibly scalar replacement)
- inline hard-wiring of user object shapes (slot offsets, getters)

js is  
faster  
than  
c++

No JS/C++ transition cost

Especially important for callbacks (e.g.  
forEach)



js is  
faster  
than  
C++

JavaScriptCore's Oliver Hunt, January  
2014:

“The initial proof of concept is  
`Array.prototype.every`, this shows a  
65% performance improvement, and  
that improvement is significantly hurt  
by our poor optimisation of `op_in`.”

js  
matches  
js  
semantics  
better

Proxies, accessors, order of effects,  
has-property versus get-property,  
user-implemented iteration protocol,  
exceptions, catch

Terse:

```
for (var x of y) z(x);
```

js  
more  
secure  
than  
C++

GC-related bugs approximately  
impossible

☛ SM, V8; JSC immune

No C++ knife-throwing work-related  
accidents

☛ integer overflow, use-after-free, etc

Cross-iframe leakage concerns  
lessened



choosy  
hackers  
choose  
js

Goal: As much in JS as possible

For speed, for security, for  
maintainability

How?

simplest  
model:  
javascriptcore

“Methods can be implemented in JS”

# example

```
Source/JavaScriptCore/builtins/  
Array.prototype.js
```

```
function foo() {  
    return 'ahoy ffconf';  
}
```

```
Source/JavaScriptCore/runtime/  
ArrayPrototype.cpp
```

```
foo arrayProtoFuncFoo DontEnum|Function 0
```



weird  
js: jsc  
edition

Function source compiled separately

Access to globals forbidden in general

Initial values of globals accessible via @  
prefix, e.g. @Object

Add @call and @apply

[http://svn.webkit.org/repository/  
webkit/trunk@163195](http://svn.webkit.org/repository/webkit/trunk@163195)

more  
complicated:  
spider  
monkey

“Self-hosted JS” files concatenated and  
evaluated – more normal model  
C++ binds functions by name to  
prototype properties

feature:  
es.next  
'pipelines'

Old SpiderMonkey:

```
(x*2 for (x in [0,1,2].keys()))
```

Erstwhile ES6:

```
(for (x of [0,1,2].keys()) x*2)
```

Maybe ES7:

```
[0,1,2].keys().map(x=>x*2)
```

Ideally on IteratorPrototype, but  
let's hack it



# example

```
js/src/builtin/Iterator.js
```

```
function* IteratorMap(f) {  
  for (var x of this) yield f(x);  
}
```

# example

No `function*` at boot-time :(

But, ES6 object literals

```
function IteratorMap(f) {
  var iter = this[std_iterator]();
  return {
    next(val) {
      var result = iter.next(val)
      return result.done ? result : {
        value: callFunction(f, iter,
                           result.value),
        done: false
      };
    },
    [std_iterator]: IteratorIdentity,
  }
}
```

# example

Link to C++ files; grep for surrounding identifiers, make similar modifications (e.g. in `jsiter.cpp`)

```
js> for (var x of [1,2,3].keys().map(x=>x*2))  
      print(x)
```

0

2

4

nerf  
the  
web  
forward

nerf  
the  
web  
forward

Your search - "nerf the web forward" -  
did not match any documents.



nerf  
the  
web  
forward

(like, nerf is like a more resilient  
polystyrene foam)

nerf  
the  
web  
forward

(the more joke explanation slides, the  
more amusing the joke, right?)

nerf  
the  
web  
forward

(right?)

# caveats

`@@iterator` called before or after first `next()`?

Prototype chain of the result of `map()`?

Should final `result.value` be mapped?

`%IteratorPrototype%`

No spec; spec wonkiness

`throw()`?

`next()` applied to different object?

v8

Story time!



languages  
are  
like  
operating  
systems

Visit a page : Install an app

Visit about:blank : Boot OS

Weird self-hosted JS part of OS, not  
app

# genesis

In the beginning, there was the empty  
function

and the Object function

and its prototype property

genesis

And Goog looked upon it and saw that  
it was good

# genesis

Then the strict mode function “maps”  
(hidden classes)

Then the first global object

Then Array, Number, Boolean, String,  
Symbol, Date, RegExp, JSON,  
ArrayBuffer, the TypedArrays, Map,  
Set, iterator result shapes, WeakMap,  
WeakSet, arguments object shapes, ...

genesis

And Goog looked upon them and saw  
that they were good



genesis

And Goog looked upon them and saw  
that they were good

But FFS it's a lot of C++, innit?

# how 2 js

Problem: Need to define helpers in JS, but they shouldn't be in the user's scope

Solution: Second global object for self-hosted JS to play in; natives mutate to produce a more beautiful global

`builtins,`  
`globals`

`Global`: A global object, corresponding to a user-facing script-level scope

`builtins`: The global object current when self-hosted JS is being defined

In `builtins`, user-facing global bound to `global`

Somewhat confusingly, in V8, “self-hosted JS facilities” are called “natives”

on the  
seventh  
day

So, “natives”. That’s JavaScript y’all!

# example

src/generator.js

```
function* GeneratorObjectMap(f) {  
  for (var x of this) yield f(x);  
}
```



# weird js, v8 edition

## Verbs

- ☛ % prefix for low-level C++ runtime functions (`--allow-natives-syntax`)
- ☛ %\_ prefix for magical “inline” runtime functions (`%_CallFunction`, `%_IsSmi`)
- ☛ macros (`TO_UINT32`, `IS_NUMBER`)

weird  
js, v8  
edition

Nouns too

- ☛ `global`
- ☛ `InternalArray` (to allow builtins to use `.push()` without worrying about user pollution)

Suggested reading order

- ☛ `runtime.js`
- ☛ `v8natives.js`
- ☛ `array.js`

# snapshots

Lots of work amirite?

Optimization: Serialize heap of new-born world

Load fresh heap from disk to “boot”

Necessary in context of Chrome’s multi-process model

note:  
the  
dom is  
something  
else

“Blink-in-JS”

Kentaro Haro: DOM binding overhead  
is 5-15% in real web

DOM objects live in a 1-to-N  
relationship to V8 globals

Search for “Hardening security of  
content scripts”

but  
seriously

Strict spec reading

Strict spec translation (optimize later if ever)

Tests (especially proxies, getters, order of operations)

Patch submission

Feature flags (in v8)

tx

nerf the web forward!

wingo@igalia.com

<http://wingolog.org/>

.

big kid circus, by ray forster: <https://www.flickr.com/photos/94418464@N08/8686092191>